Space of Analytic Functions

1

The space of continuous functions $C(G, \Omega)$

Definition : If *G* is an open set in C and (Ω, d) is a complete metric space the set of all continuous functions from *G* to Ω , designated by C(*G*, Ω) is called space of continuous functions.

Compact set of a metric space

Definition : A subset K of a metric space X is compact if for every collection $\zeta = \{G : G \text{ is open}; G \subset X\}$ of open sets in X,

....(1)

i.e. there is a finite number of sets $G_1, G_2, ..., G_n$ in ς such that

Cover of a compact set

 $\{ \mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \{ \mathcal{G} \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_n \} \cup \mathcal{G}_n \}$

A collection of set ζ satisfying (1) is called cover of the compact set K. If each member of is an open set then is called open cover of K.

e.g. empty set and all finite sets are compact.

Cauchy sequence : A sequence is called a Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer N such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon, \forall n, m \ge N$

Complete metric space : A metric space (X,d) is called complete metric space if each Cauchy sequence has a limit in X.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$, G is an open subset of \mathbb{C} and H(G) the set of all analytic functions defined on G, i.e. $H(G) = \{f: f \text{ is analytic function on } G\}$ then H(G) be a subset of space of continuous functons from G to \mathbb{C} . $H(G) \subset C(G,C)$

We denotes the set of analytic function on G by H(G) rather than A(G) because

g continuous function that are analytic in G

Thus $A(G) \neq H(G)$

Theorem: If be a sequence in H(G) and $f \in C(G, \mathbb{C})$ such that then f is analytic and $f_n^{(k)} \to f^{(k)} \forall$ integer $k \ge 1$

Proof : To prove that *f* is analytic, we use Morera's theorem. If *T* be a triangle contained inside a disk $D \subset G$, then *T* is compact, and the sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to *f* uniformly over *T*. Hence by Morera's theorem

$$\int_{T} f = \lim_{T} \int_{T} f = 0 \qquad \dots (1)$$

since each f_n is analytic.



Thus f must be analytic in every disk $D \subset G$.

Now we show that

 \Rightarrow

Let $D = \overline{B}(a; r) \subset G$; then there is a number $\mathbb{R} > r$ such that $\overline{B}(a, R) \subset G$.

If we take a circle $\gamma \equiv |z - a| = R$ then by Cauchy's Integral formula

$$f_n^{(k)}(z) - f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left[\frac{f_n(w)}{(w-z)^{k+1}} - \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{k+1}} \right] dw \qquad \forall z \in D$$

Since $f_n \to f$ and f_n are continuous in C then $\exists M_n > 0$ where

$$M_n = \sup\{|f_n(w) - f(w)| : |w - a| = R\}$$
 such that

 $|f_n(w) - f(w)| \le M_n$, then from the equation (2)

$$\therefore |f_n^{(k)}(z) - f^{(k)}(z)| \le \frac{k!}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{M_n}{(R-r)^{k+1}} |dw|$$

$$= \frac{k!M_n}{2\pi (R-r)^{k+1}} \int_{\gamma} |dw| = \frac{k!M_n}{2\pi (R-r)^{k+1}} .2\pi R$$

$$= \frac{k!M_n R}{2\pi (R-r)^{k+1}} \quad \text{for } |z - a| < r \quad ...(3)$$

Since $f_n \to f$, and $\lim M_n = 0$ then (3) gives

 $\Rightarrow \quad f_n^{(k)} \to f^{(k)} \text{ uniformly on } \overline{B}(a;r).$

Now if *K* is an arbitrary compact subset of *G* and distance of each element of K from any of the boundary point of *G* is greater than *r*, *i.e*.

$$0 < r < d(K, \partial G)$$
 then in K such that
 $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B(a_{j}; r)$
Since $f_{n}^{(k)} \to f^{(k)}$ uniformly on each $B(a_{j}; R) = (B)$ is $|z - a| = R$.

 $\Rightarrow f_n^{(k)}$ converges uniformly to $f^{(k)}$ on K

Theorem : Hurwitz's Theorem

Let *G* be a region and suppose the sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to *f* in *H*(*G*). If $f \neq 0$, $\overline{B}(a; R) \subset G$ and $f(z) \neq 0$ for |z - a| = R then there is an integer N such that for $n \ge N$, *f* and *f_n* have the same number of zeros in *B*(*a*;*R*).

Proof : Since for |z - a| = R, therefore we can define a positive number δ as

 $\operatorname{But} f_n$ converges uniformly to f on

. Therefore integer N such

that if and then

$$|f(z) - f_n(z)| < \frac{\delta}{2} < |f(z)|$$

 $\leq |f(z)| + |f_n(z)|$

4

Thus from above it follows that f and f_n satisfies the condition of Rouche's theorem.

Thus f and f_n have the same number of zeros in B(a;R).

Theorem: Let be a sequence in M(G) and suppose $f_n \to f$ in $C(G, \mathbb{C}_{\infty})$ then either f is meromorphic or $f \equiv \infty$. If each f_n is analytic then either f is analytic or $f \equiv \infty$

Proof : Suppose there is a point 'a' in G with $f(a) \neq \infty$ and let |f(a)| = M



is compact in $C(G, C_{\infty})$

Now

therefore we can find a number $\rho > 0$ such that

....(1)

But $f_n \to f$ so there is an integer $\{f_n(a), f(a)\} \neq f(a) \in \overline{B}(a; r)$ and $n \ge n_0$ $d(f_n(a); f(a)) < \frac{\rho}{2}, \quad \forall n \ge n_0$

Also the set

 \Rightarrow it is equicontinuous

i.e. \exists an r > 0 such that

 $\Rightarrow \quad d(f_n(z), f(z)) < \frac{\rho}{2}$ $\Rightarrow \quad d(f_n(z); f_n(a)) < \frac{\rho}{2}$

This gives that $d(f_n(z), f(a)) \le \rho$ for $|z-a| \le r$ and for $n \ge n_0$

Now

 \Rightarrow

...(2)

In view of the ρ chosen in (1); the expression (2) can be written as

....(3)

But
$$d(f_n(z), f(z)) = \frac{2 |f_n(z) - f(z)|}{\{[1 + |f_n(z)|^2][1 + |f(z)|^2]\}^{1/2}}$$

 $\forall z \in \overline{B}(a; r) \text{ and } n \ge n_0$
 $\ge \frac{2 |f_n(z) - f(z)|}{\{(1 + 4M^2)(1 + 4M^2)\}^{1/2}}$
 $\forall z \in \overline{B}(a; r) \text{ and } n \ge n_0$

Since $d\{f_n(z), f(z)\} \to 0$ uniformly for $z \in \overline{B}(a; r)$ this gives that $|f_n(z) - f(z)| \to 0$ uniformly for $z \in \overline{B}(a; r)$

From(3)

 $\{f_n\}$ is bounded on B(a; r)

 $\Rightarrow f_n \text{ has no poles and must analytic near } z = a, \quad \forall n \ge n_0.$

 \Rightarrow f is analytic in a disc about a.

Now suppose there is a **point** '*a*' in *G* with

. Then, if $g \in C(G, \mathbf{C}_{\infty})$;

.....(4)

define 1/g as follows:

$$f(a) = \infty$$

$$\frac{1}{g}(z) = \frac{1}{g(z)} \quad \text{if } g(z) \neq 0 \text{ or } \infty;$$

$$\frac{1}{g}(z) = 0 \quad \text{if } g(z) = \infty; \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{g}(z) = \infty \quad \text{if } g(z) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{g}(z) = 0$$

Then it follows that $\frac{1}{g} \in C(G, \mathbb{C}_{\infty})$

Also, since $f_n \to f$ in $C(G, \mathbb{C}_{\infty})$ then by the property of metric over non zero complex number z_1 and z_2 , i.e.

$$d(z_1, z_2) = d\left(\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}\right) \text{ and } d(z, 0) = d\left(\frac{1}{z}, \infty\right)$$

It follows that $\frac{1}{f_n} \to \frac{1}{f}$ in $C(G, \mathbb{C}_{\infty})$
Now each $\frac{1}{f_n}$ is meromorphic on G ;

So, $\exists r > 0$ and an integer n_0 such that $\frac{1}{f}$ and $\frac{1}{f_n}$ are analytic on B(a; r) for

 $n \ge n_0$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{f_n} \to \frac{1}{f} \text{ uniformly on } B(a; r).$$

From Hurwitz theorem, either $\frac{1}{f} \equiv 0$ or $\frac{1}{f}$ has isolated zeros in B(a; r).

 $\therefore \quad \text{if } f \neq \infty \text{ then } \frac{1}{f} \neq 0 \text{ and } f \text{ must be meromorphic in } B(a; r)$

Combining this with the result (4) that f is meromorphic in G if f is not identically

infinite.

If each f_n is analytic then $\frac{1}{f_n}$ has no zeros in B(a; r). Then [corollary : $\{f_n\} \subset$ H(G) converges to f in H(G) and each f_n never vanishes on G then either $f \equiv 0$ or never vanishes] then either $\frac{1}{f} \equiv 0$ or $\frac{1}{f}$ never vanishes. But since $f(a) = \infty$ we have that $\frac{1}{f}$ has at least one zero; thus $f \equiv \infty$ in B(a;r) $f(a) = \infty$ we have that $\frac{1}{f}$ has at least one zero; thus $f \equiv \infty$ in B(a;r)

Thus, either $f \equiv \infty$ or f is analytic

Locally bounded set of analytic functions

Definition : A set $\mathbf{F} \subset H(G)$ is locally bounded if $\forall a \in G$, there are

constants M and r > 0, such that

 $|f(z)| \le M$, for

Alternately

F is locally bounded if there is an r > 0 such that

Montel's Theorem

A family \mathbf{F} in H(G) is normal iff is locally bounded

Proof : To prove that is normal i.e. each sequence in has a subsequence

7

which converges to a function f in H(G).

Necessary : Suppose is normal but *fails to be locally bounded*.

then there is a compact set $K \subset G$ such that

....(1)

....(1)

[contradiction of locally bounded set]

i.e. there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \mathbf{F} such that

Since \mathbf{F} is normal therefore a function and a subsequence such that

$$f_{n_k} \to f$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sup\{|f_{n_R}(z) - f(z)|: z \in K\} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty$$
If
$$|f(z)| \le M \text{ for } z \in K$$

$$n_k \le \sup\{|f_{n_k}(z) - f(z)|: z \in K\} + M$$

$$\text{when } n_k \to \infty \quad \text{right hand side converges to } M \text{ which cannot be true } (\infty \le M)$$

Hence the assumption taken at start is wrong. Therefore if is normal it is locally bounded.

Corollary : is closed in $C(G, \mathbf{C}_{\infty})$.

For this we prove the normality of M(G).

For this let us introduce the quality $\frac{2|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2}$

for each meromorphic function.

However if z is a pole of f then has no meaning because derivative increases the order of the pole. Therefore (1) is meaningless.

This can be rectify by taking limit of (1) as z approaches the pole. Now we show that the limit of (1) when z tends to pole. Let 'a' be a pole of f of order ; then

f(z) can be expressed as

$$f(z) = g(z) + \frac{A_m}{(z-a)^m} + \dots + \frac{A_1}{(z-a)}$$

for z in some disk about a and g(z) analytic in that disk.

For $z \neq a$

$$\therefore \qquad \frac{2|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2} = \frac{2\left|\frac{mA_m}{(z-a)^{m+1}} + \dots + \frac{A_1}{(z-a)^2} - g'(z)\right|}{1+\left|\frac{A_m}{(z-a)^m} + \dots + \frac{A_1}{(z-a)} + g(z)\right|^2}$$
$$= \frac{2|z-a|^{m-1}|mA_m + \dots + A_1(z-a)^{m-1} - g'(z)(z-a)^{m+1}|}{|z-a|^{2m} + |A_m + \dots + A_1(z-a)^{m-1} + g(z)(z-a)^m|^2}$$

Thus if $m \ge 2$

$$\lim_{z \to a} \frac{2|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2} = 0$$

= 1 then
$$f'(z) = g'(z) - \left[\frac{mA_m}{(z-a)^{m+1}} + \dots + \frac{A_1}{(z-a)^2}\right]$$

If
$$m = 1$$
 then

$$\lim_{z \to a} \frac{2 |f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2} = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{2 \left| \frac{A_1}{(z-a)^2} - g'(z) \right|}{1 + \left| \frac{A_1}{(z-a)} + g(z) \right|^2}$$

$$= \lim_{z \to a} \frac{2 \frac{1}{|z-a|^2} |A_1 - (z-a)^2 g'(z)|}{\frac{1}{|z-a|^2} [|z-a|^2 + |A_1 + g(z)(z-a)|^2]}$$

$$=\frac{2|A_1|}{|A_1|^2}=\frac{2}{|A_1|}$$

which shows that for $m \ge 1$ the limit of $\frac{2|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2}$ exits.

Theorem : Riemann Mapping Theorem

Let G be a simply connected region which is not the whole plane and let

Then there is a unique analytic function

having the properties.



- (a) f(a) = 0 and
- (b) f is one-one
- (c) $f(G) = \{z : |z| < 1\}$

Proof : First we prove uniqueness of
$$f$$
, let g be a function having the same

properties like f, i.e.

g(a) = 0 and g'(a) > 0,

g is one-one and

*fc***€€**)1⇒0

$$g(G) = \{z : |z| < 1\} \quad g : G \to D$$

and
$$D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$$
 then
 $g : G \xrightarrow[onto]{onto} D$
and $fog^{-1} : D \xrightarrow[onto]{i-1} D$ and analytic.
Also $fog^{-1}(0) = f(g^{-1}(0)) = f(a) = 0$

Then by the theorem-

Let
$$f: G \xrightarrow[onto]{1-1} D$$
 analytic and $f(a) = 0 \exists$ a complex number c with $|c| = 1$

such that $f = c\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \overline{\alpha} z}$ is Mobious transformation.

Implies that \exists a constant c; and $fog^{-1}(z) = cz \quad \forall z$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) = cg(z)$$

 \Rightarrow

Since and cg'(a) > 0

$$\Rightarrow$$
 c=1

$$\Rightarrow f = g$$

Hence *f* is unique.

For the **existence of** f, consider the family \mathbf{F} of all analytic function f having

properties (a) and (b) and satisfying for z in G.

The idea is to choose a member of \mathbf{F} having property (c).

Suppose $\{K_n\}$ is a sequence of compact subsets of G such that

and
$$a \in K_n \quad \forall n$$

Then $\{f(K_n)\}$ is sequence of compact subsets of $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Also, as n becomes larger $\{f(K_n)\}$ becomes larger and larger and tries to fill

out the disk.

Choosing $f \in \mathbf{F}$ with the largest postering $f \in \mathbf{F}_{n-1}$ which "starts out the fastest" at z = a.

Thus

Lemma : Let G be a region which is not the whole plane and such that every

non vanishing function on G has an analytic square root. If $a \in G$

then there is an analytic function f on G such that :

- (a) f(a) = 0 and
- (b) f is one-one
- (c) $f(G) = D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$

Proof: Let we define \mathbf{F} as

Since $f(G) \subset D$ then

 $\sup\{|f(z)|: z \in G\} \le 1 \text{ for } f \in \mathbf{F}$

By Montel theorem $\, {f F} \,$ is normal if it is non-empty. Thus first of all it is to be proved that

and
$$\mathbf{F}^- = \mathbf{F} \cup \{0\}$$
 ...(2)

Suppose (1) and (2) hold and consider the function

 $f \to f'(a)$ of $H(G) \to \mathbb{C}$

This is a continuous function and since \mathbf{F}^- is compact there is a fin with

F .

Since (empty set) therefore $f \in \mathbf{F}$.

f(G) = D is remains to shows now.

Suppose $w \in D$ such that $n \in D$ such that $1 - \overline{w}f(z)$

is analytic in G and never vanishes.

then by the hypothesis there is an analytic function $h: G \to \mathbb{C}$ which is equal to the square root of a analytic function, i.e.

$$[h(z)]^{2} = \frac{f(z) - w}{1 - \overline{w}f(z)} \qquad(3)$$

Since the Mobious transformation

$$T\varsigma = \frac{\varsigma - w}{1 - \overline{w}\varsigma}$$
 maps *D* onto *D*, $h(G) \subset D$.

Define $g: G \to C$ by

$$g(z) = \frac{|h'(a)|}{h'(a)} \cdot \frac{h(z) - h(a)}{1 - \overline{h(a)} h(z)} \dots \dots (4)$$

Then $|g(G)| \leq 1 \Longrightarrow g(G) \subset D$,

which is obvious from (4)

and g is one-one, as f and hence h both are one-one.

Also

$$g'(a) = \frac{|h'(a)|}{h'(a)} \cdot \frac{h'(a)[1-|h(a)|^2]}{[1-|h(a)|^2]^2}$$
$$= \frac{|h'(a)|}{1-|h(a)|^2}$$

But

$$|h(a)|^{2} = \left|\frac{f(a) - w}{1 - \overline{w}f(a)}\right| = \left|\frac{0 - w}{1 - 0}\right| = |-w| = |w|$$

and derivative of (3) gives

$$2h(z)h'(z) = \frac{f'(z)(1-\overline{w} f(z)) - (f(z)-w)(-\overline{w} f'(z))}{[1-\overline{w} f(z)]^2}$$

$$2h(a)h'(a) = \frac{f'(a)-\overline{w} w f'(a)}{1}$$

$$2h(a)h'(a) = f'(a)(1-|w|^2) \qquad \text{f(a)} \neq 0 (z)$$

$$\therefore \quad g'(a) = \frac{f'(a)(1-|w|^2)}{2\sqrt{|w|}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-|w|}$$

$$= f'(a) \left(\frac{(1+|w|)}{2\sqrt{|w|}}\right) \qquad \left[\because \frac{1+|w|}{2\sqrt{|w|}} > 1\right]$$

$$> f'(a)$$

This contradict that g is in **F** and the choice of f. Thus f(G) = D.

Theorem : Let f(z) be analytic in a simply connected region R and suppose that f(z) has no zeros in R. Then there is a analytic function h(z) such that for all $z \in R$.

Proof: Since in R

then $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ is also analytic in R and therefore for any two points *a* and *z* in R the

integral

....(1)

defines an analytic function of z in R

Let α be an argument of f(a) then f(a) can be expressed as

$$f(a) = r e^{i\alpha} \text{ and set}$$

$$\beta = \log |f(a)| + i\alpha$$

$$e^{\beta} = e^{\log |f(a)| + i\alpha}$$

$$= e^{\log |f(a)|} e^{i\alpha} = e^{\log r} e^{i\alpha} = r e^{i\alpha}$$

$$\text{There, } \beta = f(a) = f(a) = f(a)$$

$$(2)$$

Then
$$e^{\beta} = f(a)$$
 ...(2)

and if we consider

$$h(z) = \beta + \int_{a}^{z} \frac{f'(\varsigma)}{f(\varsigma)} d\varsigma \qquad \dots (3)$$

We get $h(a) = \beta$, then from (2) we have f(z) = 0 $e^{h(a)} = e^{\beta} = f(a)$ $a^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)} \frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)} d\zeta \qquad \dots (4)$

 \Rightarrow h(z) is analytic in R for all $z \in R$

from (3)

Let
$$F(z) = e^{h(z)}$$

then $F'(z) = e^{h(z)} h'(z) = F(z) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$
 \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow \frac{F'(z)f(z) - F(z)f'(z)}{[F(z)]^2} = 0$
 \therefore be non vanishing

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{f(z)}{F(z)} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{f(z)}{F(z)} = \kappa \quad (\text{a constant}) \text{ for all } z \in R$$

Putting z = a, we obtain

$$\therefore \qquad f(z) = F(z) = e^{h(z)}$$

The analytic function h(z) defined as above is called **logarithm of** f(z) in R and we write $h(z) = \log f(z)$.

Clearly, if h(z) is a logarithm of f(z), then (m an integer) is also logarithm of f(z).

Convergence of logarithm series :

$$\log(1+z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n} = z - \frac{z_h^2(z)}{2} = \frac{z_h^2(z)}{\frac{z}{2}} = \frac{f(a)}{\frac{z}{2}} = \frac{f(a)}{f(a)} = \frac{f(a)}{f(a)} = 1$$

with radius of convergence 1.

If |z| < 1 then

Further if $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$\left|1 - \frac{\log(1+z)}{z}\right| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{z - \log(1 + z)}{z} \right| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \left| \log(1 + z) \right| - \left| z \right| \le \frac{|z|}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \left| \log(1 + z) \right| \le \frac{3}{2} |z|$$

Since log (1+z) is converges to 1, then for $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\frac{|z|}{2} \le \log(1+z) \le \frac{3}{2} |z| \qquad \dots (2)$$

Proposition :

Re
$$z_n > 0 \quad \forall n \ge 1$$
. Then $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$ converges to a non-zero number iff. $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$

converges.

Proof: Let $p_n = (z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 \cdot \dots \cdot z_n)$ and $\ln(p_n) = \log |p_n| + i\theta_n$, $\theta - \pi < \theta_n < \theta + \pi$ If $S_n = \log z_1 + \log z_2 + \dots + \log z_n$ then $\exp(S_n) = \exp[\log z_1 + \log z_2 + \dots + \log z_n]$ $= \exp[\log(z_1 \cdot z_2 \dots z_n)] = p_n$

 \therefore $S_n = \ln(p_n) + 2\pi i k_n$ for some integer k_n.

Now suppose

, then

$$S_n - S_{n-1} = \log z_n \to 0$$

Also $\ln(p_n) - \ln(p_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0$

Hence $(k_n - k_{n-1}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Since k_n is an integer, there exists integers n_0 and k such that

So $S_n \rightarrow \ln(z) + 2\pi i K$

Corollary :

If Re $z_n > 0$ then the product. $\prod z_n$ converges absolutely iff the series $\sum (z_n - 1)$ converges absolutely.

Lemma :

Let X be a set and $f_{l'}$, $f_{2'}$, $f_{3'}$... be functions from X into **C** such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ uniformly for x in X. If there is a constant 'a' such that Re $f(x) \le a \quad \forall x \in X$ then $\exp f_n(x) \to \exp f(x)$ uniformly for x in X.

Proof: For given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose such that

whenever
$$|z| < \delta$$
(1)

Now choose n_0 such that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \delta \qquad \forall x \in X \qquad \text{where} f(x) \in \mathcal{F}_0^{(x)}(x) | \ll \mathfrak{W}^{-\alpha} | \exp f(x) | \leq \varepsilon$$

nus, from (1)

Thus, from (1)

$$\Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{\exp f_n(x)}{\exp f(x)} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon e^{-a}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |\exp f_n(x) - \exp f(x)| < \varepsilon e^{-a} |\exp f(x)|$$

It follow that for any $x \in X$ and

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exp f_n(x) \to \exp f(x) \text{ uniformly for } x \in X.$$

Lemma:

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let be a sequence of continuous function from X into **C** such that $\sum g_n(x)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for x in Then the product $f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x))$ converges absolutely and uniformly for x in X. Also there is an integer n_0 such that f(x) = 0 iff $g_n(x) = -1$ for some $n, 1 \le n \le n_0$

Proof : Since $\sum g_n(x)$ converges uniformly for $x \in X$ therefor their exists an integer n_0 such that and $n \ge n_0$

 $\Rightarrow \qquad \text{and } |\log(\!(+g_n(x))| \le \frac{3}{2} |g_n(x)|; \quad \forall n \ge n_0 \text{ and } x \in X$ Thus $h(x) = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \log(1+g_n(x))$ converges uniformly for $x \in X$ Now

 \therefore is also continuous.

Hence h(x) is continuous.

 $\therefore X \text{ is compact} \Rightarrow h(x) \text{ must be bounded.} \\ |g_n(x)| \leq n \quad \forall x \in X \\ g_2(x)] \dots [1 + g_n(x)] \text{.exp } h(x)$ In particular, there is a constant *a* such that 2

Then

$$\exp h(x) = \exp\left[\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \log(1+g_n(x))\right]$$
$$= \prod_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} (1+g_n(x)), \text{ converges uniformly for } x \in X \text{ and}$$

for any $x \in X$.

Now if we take

then

$$f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x)),$$

converges uniformly for $x \in X$.

Definition : An **Elementary factor** is one of the following function

or

E(z; p)

for p = 0, 1, 2, 3,... defined as

$$E(z; 0) \text{ or } E_0(z) = 1 - z$$

$$E(z; p) \text{ or } E_p(z) = (1 - z) \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right), \ p \ge 1$$

$$E(\frac{z}{a}; p) \text{ or } E_p\left(\frac{z}{a}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z}{a} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^p\right), \ p \ge 1$$

$$E\left(\frac{z}{a}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z}{a} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^p\right), \ p \ge 1$$

 $\Rightarrow E_p(\frac{-}{a}) \text{ has a simple zero at } z = a \text{ and no other zero.}$

Also if b is a point in $\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{G}$ then

$$E_p\left(\frac{a-b}{z-b}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{a-b}{z-b}\right) \exp\left[\frac{a-b}{zE_p(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a-b}{z-b}\right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{a-b}{z-b}\right)^p\right]$$

has a simple zero at z = a, and is analytic in G.

Lemma

If $|z| \le 1$ and $p \ge 0$ then $|1 - E_p(z)| \le |z|^{p+1}$

Proof : We restrict for $p \ge 1$.

For a fixed p let the power series expansion of $E_p(z)$ about z =0 is

$$E_p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad \dots (1)$$

differentiating (1), we have

$$E'_{p}(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k} z^{k-1}$$

From the definition of $E_p(z)$

$$E'_{p}(z) = -1\exp\left(z + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^{p}}{p}\right) + (1 - z)\exp\left(z + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^{p}}{p}\right)(1 + z + z^{2} + \dots + z^{p-1})$$
$$= \exp\left(z + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^{p}}{p}\right)\left[-1 + (1 - z)(1 + z + z^{2} + \dots + z^{p-1})\right]$$

On simplifying expressiion in the square bracket only z^p remains and all other terms will cancelled out.

$$\therefore \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \ a_k \ z^{k-1} = -z^p \ \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right)$$

On comparing coefficients of like power of z, we find that

 $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_p = 0$

Also the coefficient of the expression of $exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right)$ are all positive

, therefore

$$a_k \le 0 \text{ for } k \ge p + \mathbf{1}_{E'_p} = -z^p \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right)$$

Thus $|a_k| = -a_k$ for $k \ge p+1$

$$\implies E_p(1) = 0 = 1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_n$$

or
$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k| = -\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k = 1$$

Hence for $|z| \le 1$

$$|E_{p}(z) - 1| = \left| \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \right|$$
$$= |z|^{p+1} \left| \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p-1} \right|$$
$$\leq |z|^{p+1} \left| \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_{k}| \right|$$
$$= |z|^{p+1}$$

Proposition

Let Re $z_n > -1$ then the series $\sum \log(1 + z_n)$ converges absolutely iff $\sum z_n$ converges absolutely.

Proof : If
$$\sum |z_n|$$
 converges then $z_n \to 0$
 $\Rightarrow |z_n| < \frac{1}{2}$
Since $\frac{1}{2} |z| \le |\log(1+z)| \le \frac{3}{2} |z|$ for $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$
Therefore for $|z_n| < \frac{1}{2}$ the series $\sum |\log(1+z_n)|$ is convergent.
Conversely if, $\sum |\log(1+z_n)|$ converges then
 $|z_n| < \frac{1}{2}$
 $\therefore \sum |z_n|$ is converges

Absolute convergence of an infinite product.

 $\prod |z_n| \text{ converges } \Rightarrow \prod z_n \text{ converges}$ Example: Let $Z_n = -1 \forall n$ $\prod z_n$ then $|z_n| = 1 \forall n$ $\Rightarrow \prod |z_n| \text{ converges to 1.}$ However $\prod_{k=1}^n z_k \text{ is } \pm 1 \text{ depending on whether n is even or odd,}$ $\Rightarrow \text{ does not converges.}$

Definition : If Re $z_n > 0$, $\forall n$ then the infinite product $\prod z_n$ is said to converges absolutely if the series $\sum \log z_n$ converges absolutely.

Theorem : Weirestrass factorization theorem

Given an infinite sequence of complex numbers $a_0 = 0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ with no finite point of accumulation, the most general entire function having zeros at those points only (a zero a_n $n \ge 1$ of multiplicity α being repeated α times in the sequence) is given by

$$F(z) = e^{h(z)} z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; k_n\right) \qquad \dots (1)$$

where h(z) is an arbitrary entire function, $m \ge 0$ is the order of multiplicity of a_0 =0, and the k_n are non negative integers such that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right|^{k_n + 1} \dots (2)$$

Converges for each finite value of z.

Proof: Arranging the given zeros in increasing order of modulus, so that

 $0 < \mid a_1 \mid \leq \mid a_2 \mid \leq \mid a_3 \mid \leq \dots$

with $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$

The sequence $\{k_n\}$ of non-negative integers can always be found such that the series (2) is convergent for each z.

We may take $k_{n+1} = n$, since

$$\left|\frac{z}{a_n}\right|^n \le \frac{1}{2^n} \qquad \text{or} \quad \left|\frac{z}{a_n}\right| \le \frac{1}{2} \qquad \dots (3)$$

as soon as $|a_n| \ge 2 |z|$ which for any given z holds for sufficiently large value of *n*, say n > N

 \Rightarrow N depends on $|z| \Rightarrow$ pointwise convergence.

Since we are not interested in uniform convergence, only point wise convergence.

From the already proved result that

if
$$|z| \le \frac{1}{p} < 1$$
 then
 $|\log E(z;k)| \le \frac{p}{p-1} |z|^{k+1}$...(4)

then for p=2

 $|\log E(z;k)| \le 2 |z|^{k+1}$

provided

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

We have

we have

$$\left|\log E\left(\frac{z}{a_n};k\right)\right| \le 2\left|\frac{z}{a_n}\right|^{k+1}$$
provided

$$\left|\frac{z}{a_n}\right| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_n| \ge 2|z|$$

Let R be an arbitrary positive number and consider two circles with centres at the origin and radii R and 2R.

If we take
$$|z| < R$$
 and n large enough so that , we have $|a_n| > 2 |z|$
Hence the series

is absolutely and uniformly convergent for $a_{|a_n|>2R}^{|a_n|>2R}$, such that $a_n^{|z|}$, $k_n^{|z|}$ and it follows that the product

$$\prod_{|a_n|>2R} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n};k_n\right)$$

is also absolutely and uniformly convergent for |z| < R.

Since the product

$$\prod_{|a_n|\leq 2R} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n};k_n\right)$$

contain a finite number of factors each of which is an analytic function, it follows that

$$f_1(z) = \prod_{|a_n| \le 2R} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; k_n\right)$$

is analytic in |z| < R and vanishes in the disc only at those points of the sequence a_1, a_2 , ... which lie in |z| < R.

Then from the theorem : If $f_k(z)$; k = 1, 2, ... are analytic in open set

 $A \subset \mathbf{C}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_k(z)|$ is uniformly convergent on every compact set $K \subset A$, then

converges absolutely in A to
$$F(z)$$
 which is analytic in A.

We have

$$f_2(z) = \prod_{|a_n|>2R} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; k_n\right)$$

is analytic and different from zero in |z| < R.

Hence the product

$$f(z) = f_1(z)f_2(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; k_n\right)$$

is also analytic in |z| < R and vanishes in this region only at those points of the sequence a_1, a_2, \dots which lie in there.

R was arbitrary chosen positive number, so that f(z) is analytic in the whole finite place. $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f(z) = f_k^{g(z)}(z) \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{z/n}; \quad n \neq 0$

 \Rightarrow It is an entire function and has less zero precisely at the points a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n ...

 $\therefore \quad F(z) = e^{h(z)} z^m f(z)$

is most general entire function with the prescribed zeros.

Factorization of $\sin \pi z$:

 $\sin \pi z$ is an entire function with simple zeros at $0, \pm 1, \pm 2,...$

Then by Weierstrass factorization theorem the most general form of this entire function be

...

$$= e^{g(z)} z \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 - \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-z/n} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{z/n} \right]$$

$$= e^{g(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2} \right) \qquad ...(1)$$

Taking logarithmic differentiation of (1)

$$\pi \cot \pi z = \frac{d}{dz} \left[g(z) + \log z + \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(n^2 - z^2) - \log n^2 \right) \right]$$
$$= h'(z) + \frac{1}{z} + \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2z}{z^2 - n^2} \right) \qquad z \neq \pm n \qquad \dots(2)$$

But

...(3)

Hence h'(z) = 0 and it follows that h(z) = C (a constant)

Let
$$e^{C} = C_{1}$$
 then (1) gives

$$\sin \pi z = C_{1} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^{2}}{n^{2}} \right)$$

$$\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} = \frac{C_{1}}{\pi} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^{2}}{n^{2}} \right); \quad z \neq 0$$
Taking $z \to 0$

$$\pi \cot \pi z = \frac{1}{z} + \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2z}{z^{2} - n^{2}} \right)$$

$$1 = \frac{C_{1}}{\pi} \lim_{z \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{k^{2}}{n^{2}} \right)$$
Since $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^{2}}{n^{2}} \right)$ is uniformly converges, therefore the order of limit can be

changed

$$= \frac{C_1}{\pi} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{z \to 0} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{k^2} \right)$$

$$\therefore \quad 1 = \frac{C_1}{\pi} \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{K=1}^n (1) = \frac{C_1}{\pi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad C_1 = \pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sin \pi z = \pi z \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2} \right)$$

Gamma function

According to Weierstrass (the weierstrass fact. th) the Γ function can be defined as the reciprocal of a particular entire function with simple zeros at the points 0, -1, -2,.. namely

$$F(z) = e^{\gamma z} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-z/n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{F(z)} = \Gamma(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right)^{-1} e^{z/n} \qquad \dots(1)$$

where the constant γ (Euler or Mascheroni constant) is chosen so that $\Gamma(1) = 1$ From (1) we see that is a meromorphic function on C with simple poles at $z = 0, -1, -2, \dots$

Existence of y

:.

Now we show that there exists such γ . Substituting z = 1 in the infinite product⁻¹ r = 1

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1} e^{1/n} = C \quad \text{a finite positive number} \qquad \dots (2)$$

Let $\gamma = \log C$ then on substituting z = 1 in (1), we get

$$=\frac{1}{C}.C=1$$

From (2), we see that the constant γ satisfies the equation

...(3)

Hence the existance of γ is acertained.

Lemma:

Let
$$H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$$
 then $\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} (H_n - \log n)$

Proof : Taking logarithm on both sides of

$$e^{\gamma} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{-1} e^{1/k}$$

We have

$$\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{-1} e^{1/k} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log \left[\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right) e^{1/k} \right]$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\log k - \log(k+1) + \frac{1}{k} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \underbrace{\{ \log k - \log(k + 1)\}}_{\{ \log 1 = 0 \text{ and all int ermediate} \\ \log(n+1) \}} + \sum_{n \to \infty}^{n} \frac{1}{k + 1} \frac{n! n^{z}}{(z+2) \dots (z+n)} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[-\log(n+1) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\log n - \log(n+1) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \log n \right]$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \log n - \log\left(\frac{(n+1)}{n}\right) \right]$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \log n - 0 \right] \qquad \qquad \left[\because \lim_{n \to \infty} \log\left(\frac{(n+1)}{n}\right) = 0 \right]$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} (H_n - \log n)$$

Euler's formula for $\Gamma(z)$ or Gauss formula

From the definition of $\Gamma(z)$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{z} \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right)^{-1} e^{z/k} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{z} \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k e^{z/k}}{(z+k)} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma z} (1.2.3...n) . \exp\left(z + \frac{z}{2} + \frac{z}{3} + + \frac{z}{n}\right)}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{e^{-z(H_n - \log n)} .n! . \exp\left\{z\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . e^{-zH_n} . e^{-z\log n} . e^{zH_n}}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \dots (z+n) \\ &\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{n-z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n!}{2}! n^{k+1}}{1! (z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)} \dots (z+n)\right) \\ &\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2) \dots (x+n)} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{n-z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n!}{2}! n^{k+1}}{1! (z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)} \dots (z+n)\right) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2) \dots (x+n)} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{n-z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n!}{2}! n^{k+1}}{1! (z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)} \dots (z+n)\right) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2) \dots (x+n)} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{n-z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n!}{2}! n^{k+1}}{1! (z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)} \dots (z+n)\right) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2) \dots (x+n)} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{n-z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{n!}{2}! n^{k+1}}{1! (z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)!} \dots (z+n)\right) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2) \dots (x+n+1)!} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{n-z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n+1)!} \dots (z+n+1)} \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n)!} \dots (z+n+1) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n)!} \dots (z+n+1) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)! \dots (z+n+1)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n)!} \dots (z+n+1) \\ & \Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! . n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)! \dots (z+n)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)! (z+n)!} \frac{n! . n^z}{1! (z+n)!} \frac{n! .$$

Functional equation $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$

Replacing z by (z+1) in the equation (5)

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! n^{z}}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \frac{n z}{(z+n+1)}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! n^{z}}{z(z+1)(z+2)....(z+n)} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{z}{(\frac{z+1}{n}+1)}$$
$$= \Gamma(z) \cdot z$$

Reflection formula

Proof: Using Euler's formula

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{(n!)^2 \cdot n}{z(1-z^2)(2^2-z^2)\dots(n^2-z^2)(n+1-z)} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{z(1-z^2)\left(1-\frac{z^2}{2^2}\right)\dots\left(1-\frac{z^2}{n^2}\right)\left(\frac{n+1-z}{n}\right)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ (1-z^2)\left(1-\frac{z^2}{2^2}\right)\dots\left(1-\frac{z^2}{n^2}\right)\right\} \cdot 1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1-\frac{z^2}{n^2}\right)} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{(z_k)}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\pi}{1-\frac{z^2}{2}}\right]} \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{1-\frac{z^2}{2}}} \left[\frac{z\neq 0, \pm \eta! \pm \frac{\pi}{2}}{z(z+1)(z+2)\dots(z+n)}\right] \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{n!z}{(1-z)(2-z)}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z \cdot \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}$$

Ordinary Dirichlet Series

Definition : The series of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \qquad \qquad \dots (1)$$

called ordinary Dirichlet series, where the a_n are given constants, $s = \sigma + it$ is a

•

complex variable and

Zeta function of Riemann

If $a_n = 1$, $\forall n$ then the series (1) becomes

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \text{Re } s = \sigma > 1$$

represents Zeta function of Riemann.

Theorem : The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $\sigma \ge 1 + \varepsilon$

 $(\epsilon > 0 \text{ arbitrary})$

Proof: We have

$$\left|\frac{1}{n^{s}}\right| = \frac{1}{|n^{\sigma+it}|} = \frac{1}{|n^{\sigma}||n^{it}|} = \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \le \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}$ converges, by Weiestrass M-test

Theorem : For $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$

$$\Gamma(s) \ \varsigma(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^{t} - 1} dt$$

Proof : The integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} dt$ converges at both the lower and upper limits

whenever $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$

Since

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{t}{e^t - 1} = 1$$

so that by the definition of limit there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for $0 < t \le \delta$ the

inequality

$$\left| \frac{t}{e^{t} - 1} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{t}{e^{t} - 1} \right| - 1 < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \left|\frac{t}{e^t - 1}\right| < \frac{3}{2} \text{ holds.}$$

Hence for $0 < \delta_1 < \delta$ and $\sigma = \text{Re } s > 1$

$$\int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta} \left| \frac{t}{e^{t} - 1} \right| t^{\sigma - 2} dt \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta} t^{\sigma - 2} dt = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} \left(\delta^{\sigma - 1} - \delta_{1}^{\sigma - 1} \right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta} \left| \frac{t}{e^{t} - 1} \right| t^{\sigma - 2} dt \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta^{\sigma - 1}}{\sigma - 1} \text{ as } \delta_{1} \rightarrow 0$$

on the other hand, we have

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{t^m}{e^t - 1} = 0$$

So that there is a b_1 , such that for $t \ge b_1$ the ineuqality $\left| \frac{t^m}{e^t - 1} \right| < \frac{1}{2}$ is satisfied

Hence for $0 < b_1 < b$ and choosing $m > \sigma$ we get

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m - \sigma} b_1^{\sigma - m} \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty \qquad \int_{b_1}^{b} \left| \frac{t}{e^t} - 1 \right|^{\sigma} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-it} dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{b_1} dt = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma - m} \left(b^{\sigma - m} - b_1^{\sigma - m} \right)$$
Now in $\Gamma(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s - 1} dt$

which is valid for $\sigma > 0$, we make the substitution t = nu to obtain

and
$$\Gamma(s)\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{s}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{n=1 \ (sum \text{ of } G.P)}}^{N} e^{-nu} \right) u^{s-1} du$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{-u} (1 - e^{-Nu})}{(1 - e^{-u})} \right) u^{s-1} du$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1}}{e^{u} - 1} du - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1} e^{-Nu}}{e^{u} - 1} du$$

Now it is required to prove that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1}e^{-Nu}}{e^{u}-1} du \quad \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty \\ & \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1}e^{-Nu}}{e^{u}-1} du = \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{u^{s-1}e^{-Nu}}{e^{u}-1} du + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1}e^{-Nu}}{e^{u}-1} du; \quad \delta > 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \quad \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1}e^{-Nu}}{e^{u}-1} du \right| < \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{u^{\sigma-1}}{e^{u}-1} du + e^{-N\delta} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\sigma-1}}{e^{u}-1} du \end{split}$$

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose δ sufficiently small so as to make the first integral on right hand side less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Fixing that δ we can now take N large enough so as to make the second term on the right less than

$$\therefore \quad \text{for } N \to \infty$$

$$\Gamma(s) \zeta(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{s-1}}{e^{u} - 1} du \quad \text{valid for Re } s > 1$$

Note:B(E) denotes a closed algebra of C(K, D) that contains every rational function with a pole in E $\overline{2}$

Lemma

If $a \in \mathbb{C} - k$ then $(z-a)^{-1} \in B(E)$ **Proof :** Case I : $\infty \notin E$ Let and let $V = \{a \in \mathbb{C} : (z - a)^{-1} \in B(E)\}$ SO $E \subset V \subset U$ If and then ...(1) V is open \Rightarrow a number such that \Rightarrow $|b-a| < r|z-a|; \quad \forall z \in K.$...(2)

But

$$= (Z-1)^{-1} \left[1 - \frac{b-a}{Z-a} \right]^{-1} \qquad \dots (3)$$

From(2)

$$|b-a||z-a|^{-1} < r < 1; \quad \forall z \in K \text{ which implies that}$$
$$\left[1 - \frac{b-a}{z-a}\right]^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b-a}{z-a}\right)^n \qquad \dots (4)$$

By the Weirestrass M-Test series on right hand side of (4) converges uniformly on K.

If
$$Q_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{b-a}{z-a}\right)^k$$
 then
 $(z-a)^{-1}Q_n(z) \in B(E)$

Since $a \in V$ and B(E) is an algebra (3) shows that B(E) is closed and uniformly convergence of (4) implies that $\left(b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A \\ (z = -b) \\ (z = -b) \\ (z = -a) \\$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e \in A \\ z = b \end{pmatrix}^{-1}_{-1} \in \left[\frac{B(E)}{z-a} \right]^{-1} (z-a)^{-1}$$

 $\Rightarrow b \in V$

Then (1) implies that V is open

If then let be a sequence in V with $b = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$

Since $b \notin V$ then from (1) it follows that

$$|b-a_n| \ge d(a_n, K)$$
 then for $n \to \infty$; $a_n \to b$; we get
 $0 = d(b, K)$ or $b \in K$

Thus $\partial V \cap U = \phi$ (null set)

If H is a component of $U = \mathbf{C} - K$ then $H \cap K \neq \phi$

So $H \cap V \neq \phi$

$$\therefore$$
 $H \subset V$

But H was arbitrary so or V = U

Case 2 :

Let d = the metric on

Let a_0 is in the unbounded components of $U = \mathbf{C} - K$ such that

$$d(a_0, \infty) \le \frac{1}{2} d(\infty, K)$$

$$|a_0| > 2 \max\{|z|: z \in K\}$$

so E₀ meets each components of
...(5)

Let

If

and

 $a \in \mathbb{C} - K$ then case-I gives that $(z - a)^{-1} \in B(E_0)$

Now $|z/a_0| \le \frac{1}{2}$; $\forall z \in K$ [from (5)]

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{z-a_0} = \frac{1}{-a_0(1-z/a_0)} = -\frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z/a_0)^n$$

Converges uniformly on K.

Then

$$Q_n(z) = -\frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{k=0}^n (z/a_0)^k$$
 is a polynomial

and $(z - a_0)^{-1} = u - \lim Q_n$ on K.

Since \boldsymbol{Q}_n has its only pole at ∞ ,

Thus
$$(z - a_0)^{-1} \in B(E)$$

$$\Rightarrow B(E_0) \subset B(E)$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(z-a)^{-1} \in B(E)$ for each $a \in \mathbb{C} - K$

Definition : Function element is a pair (f,G) where G is a region and f is an analytic function on G.

Definition : Germ of f at $a : [f]_a$.

For a given function element (f, G) the germ of f at a, denoted by $[f]_a$, is the

collection of all function elements (g, D) such that $a \in D$ and neighbourhood of a.

 \Rightarrow [f]_a = collection of function elements and it is not a function element itself. Note: The equivalence of two germs of *f* i.e. $[f]_a = [f]_b$ is meaning less until a = b.

Definition : Analytic continuation along a path.

Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow C$ be a path and suppose that for each t in [0,1] there is a function element (f_t, D_t) such that

(a) $\gamma(t) \in D$

(b) for each t in [0,1] there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|s-t| < \delta$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(s) \in D_t$$
 and $[f_s]_{\gamma(s)} = [f_t]_{\gamma(s)}$ (i.e. germ of f_s and f_t at $\gamma(s)$ are equal)

Then (f, D) is the analytic continuous of (f_0, D_0) along the path γ ; or (f_1, D_1) is obtained from (f_0, D_0) by analytic continuation along γ .

Power series method of analytic continuation

Lemma: Let $\gamma:[0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a path and $\mathbb{Ter} \{ \tilde{c} \}_{t}^{t}, \tilde{D}_{t} \}: 0 \le t \le 1 \}$ be an analytic continuation along γ . For $0 \le t \le 1$ let R(t) be the radius of convergence of the power series expansion of f_t about $z = \gamma(t)$. Then either or $R:[0,1] \rightarrow (0,\infty)$ is continuous.

Proof: If $R(t) = \infty$ for some value of t then it is possible to extend f_t to an entire function.

Since

$$f_s(z) = f_t(z) \quad \forall z \in D_s$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad R(s) = \infty \quad \forall s \in [0,1]$$

i.e.
$$R(s) \equiv \infty$$

Suppose that
$$R(t) < \infty \quad \forall t$$

For a particular $t \in [0,1]$ let $\tau = \gamma(t)$

in a

let

be the power series expansion of f_t about τ .

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be such that $|s - t| < \delta_1$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \gamma(s) \in D_t \cap B(\tau; R(t))$$

and $[f_s]_{\gamma(s)} = [f_t]_{\gamma(s)}$

Now let $\sigma = \gamma(s)$ for a fix s;

Now f_t can be extended to an analytic function on $B(\tau; R(t))$.

Since f_s agrees with f_t on a neighbourhood of σ (by definition germs), f_s can be

extended so that it is also analytic on

If f_s has a power series expansion

$$f_s(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n (z - \sigma)^n$$
 about $z = \sigma$

then the radius of convergence R(s) must be at least as big as the distance from $\pi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau_n(z-\tau)^n (z-\tau)^n$

i.e.

$$\Rightarrow \quad R(t) - R(s) \leq |\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)|$$

Similarly it can be shown that

Hence

for

Since $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is continuous.

 \Rightarrow R must be continuous at t.

Rung's Theorem : Let K be a compact subset of **C** and let E be a subset of $C_{\infty} - K$ that meets each component of $C_{\infty} - K$. If *f* is analytic in an open set containing K and $\varepsilon > 0$ then there is a rational function R(Z) whose only poles lie in E and such that

$$|f(z) - R(z)| < \varepsilon \qquad \forall z \in K$$

Proof : By the fact that if K be a compact subset of the region G, then there are straight line segments $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ in G - K such that for every function f in H(G).

$$f(z) = \sum_{K=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_k} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw \quad \forall z \in K$$

The line segments form a finite number of closed polygons.

Also If γ be a rectifiable curve and let K be compact set such that $K \cap \{\gamma\} = \phi$. If f is continuous function on $\{\gamma\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then there is a rational function R(z) having all its poles on and such that

Definition : Unrestricted analytic continuation :

Let (f, D) be a function element and let *G* be a region which contains *D*: then (f, D) admits unrestricted analytic continuation in *G* if for any path γ in *G* with initial point in *D* there is an analytic continuation of (f, D) along γ . $\left| \int_{v}^{T} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw - R(z) \right|; \quad \forall z \in K$

Fixed-End-Point (FEP) homotopic

Definition : If $\gamma_0, \gamma_1: [0,1] \to G$ are two rectifiable course in G such that $\gamma_0(0) = \gamma_1(0) = 0$ and $\gamma_0(0) = \gamma_1(0) = b$ then γ_0 and γ_1 are FEP homotopic if there is a continuous map

$$\Gamma: I^{2} \rightarrow G \text{ such that}$$

$$\Gamma(s,0) = \gamma_{0}(s)$$

$$\Gamma(s,1) = \gamma_{1}(s)$$

$$\Gamma(0,t) = a$$

$$\Gamma(1,t) = b$$
for $0 \le s, t \le 1$

$$I^{2} = [0,1] \times [0,1]$$

Theorem : Monodromy : Let (f, D) be a function element and let G be a region containing D such that (f, D) having unrestricted continuation in G. Let $a \in D, b \in G$ and let γ_0 and γ_1 be paths in G from a to b; let $\{(f_t, D_t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$ and $\{(g_t, D_t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$ be analytic continuation of (f, D) along γ_0 and γ_1 respectively. If γ_0 and γ_1 are fixed-end-point homotrpic in G then

 $[f_1]_b = [g_1]_b$

Proof : Since γ_0 and γ_1 are FEP homotopic in G

 \Rightarrow there is a continuous function

 $\Gamma:[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow G$ such that

 $\Gamma(t,0) = \gamma_0(t)$ $\Gamma(t,1) = \gamma_1(t)$

$$\Gamma(0,u) = a; \quad \Gamma(1,u) = b$$

for all t and u in [0, 1]

Let $\gamma_u(t) = \Gamma(t, u)$ for a fix $u, 0 \le u \le \eta$, then the beau (1)

By hypothesis there is an analytic continuation $\{(h_{t,u}, D_{t,u}): 0 \le t \le 1\}$ of (f, D)along γ_u

By the result that if $\gamma:[0.1] \to C$ be a path from *a* to *b* and $\{(f_t, D_t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$ and $\{(g_t, B_t): 0 \le t \le 1\}$ be analytic continuations along γ such that $[f_0]_a = [g_0]_a$. Then

 $[f_1]_b = [g_1]_b$

Then it follows that

 $[g_1]_b = [h_{1,1}]_b$ and

Now it is sufficient to show that

...(2)

To show this

Let $U = \{u \in [0,1] : [h_{1,u}]_b = [h_{1,0}]_b\}$...(3)

and we show that U is non-empty open and closed subset of [0,1].

38

Since

Now it is remains to show that U is both open and closed.

Let us consider for

$$u \in [0,1] \exists a \ \delta > 0$$
 such that if $|u - v| \le \delta$

then $[h_{1,u}]_b = [h_{1,v}]_b$ (4)

For a fixedthere is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if σ is any path from a to bwith $|\gamma_u(t) - \sigma(t)| < \varepsilon$, $\forall t$ and ifto any continuation of (f, D) along σ ,

then

$$[h_{1,u}]_b = [K_1]_b \qquad \dots (5)$$

Now Γ is a uniformly continuous function, so there is $\delta > 0$

such that if then

$$|\gamma_{u}(t) - \gamma_{v}(t)| = |\Gamma(t, u) - \Gamma(t, v)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall t. \qquad \dots (6)$$

Suppose $u \in U$ and let $\delta > 0$ be the number given in (4). By definition of U,

, this implies U is open. If the the the the section of the sectio

 $\exists a$ such that

But form (4)

 $[h_{1,u}]_b = [h_{1,v}]_b$; and

since

 \Rightarrow

 \Rightarrow U is closed

Mean Value Theorem: Let be a harmonic function and let $\overline{B}(a;r)$ be a closed disk contained in G. If γ is the circle centred at a and of radius r i.e. $\gamma :|z - a| = r$

then

$$u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(a + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Proof : Let D be a disk such that

 $\overline{B}(a;r) \subset D \subset G$, and

Let f be analytic on D such that u = Ref

Then by Cauchy integral formula

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz$$

$$|z-a| = r$$

$$z = a + re^{i\theta}$$

$$dz = ir^{i\theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(a+re^{i\theta})}{re^{i\theta}} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(a+re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(a+re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$
Then Re $f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} f(a+re^{i\theta}) d\theta$

$$A = \{Z \in G : u(z) = u(a)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(a+re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Mean Value Property (MVP)

Definition : A continuous function $u: G \to R$ has the MVP if whenever $\overline{B}(a;r) \subset G$

$$u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} u(a + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Maximum Principle : Let G be a region and let u is a continuous real valued function on G with the MVP. If there is a point *a* in G such that $u(a) \ge u(z) \quad \forall z \in G$, then u is constant function.

Proof : Let the set A be defined by

Since u is continuous, the set A is closed in G.

If let r be chosen such that $\overline{B}(Z_0; r) \subset G$.

Suppose $b \in B(z_0; r)$ such that $u(b) \neq u(a)$; then

- \therefore By continuity in the neighbouhood of b
- If $|z_0 b| = \rho$ and $b = z_0 + \rho e^{i\theta}$ $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$

then there is a proper interval I of $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $\theta \in I$ and

Hence by MVP

$$u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + \rho e^{i\phi}) d\phi < u(z_0)$$

which is a contradiction.

So $B(z_0;r) \subset A$ and A is also open.

by connectedness of G, A = G.

Harmonic function on a disk :

$$H(b) \neq H(c) \neq H(Fe) \neq H(Fe) \neq 0$$

.

Study of harmonic function on a disk, open unit $\frac{1}{\text{disk} q^{i\theta}} = \frac{1}{|z|} = \frac{1}{|z|} \frac$

Definition : Poisson Kernel : The function

$$P_r(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{in\theta}$$

for $0 \le r < 1$ and $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ is called the **Poisson kernel**.

Theorem :

Let
$$z = re^{i\theta}$$
, $0 \le r < 1$ then

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1+re^{i\theta}}{1-re^{i\theta}} = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = (1+z)(1-z)^{-1}$$
$$= (1+z)(1+z+z^2+....)$$
$$= 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n$$

$$= 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n e^{in\theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1 + re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}}\right) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \cos n\theta$$

$$= 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \frac{(e^{in\theta} + e^{-in\theta})}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{in\theta}$$

$$= P_r(\theta) \qquad \dots (1)$$

Also

$$\frac{1+re^{i\theta}}{1-re^{i\theta}} = \frac{(1+re^{i\theta})(1-re^{-i\theta})}{|1-re^{i\theta}|^2} = \frac{1+re^{i\theta}-re^{-i\theta}-r^2}{|1-re^{i\theta}|^2}$$
$$= \frac{(1-r^2)+r(i2\sin\theta)}{|1-re^{i\theta}|^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+re^{i\theta}}{1-re^{i\theta}}\right) = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r\cos\theta+r^2} \qquad \dots (2)$$
$$[\because |1-re^{i\theta}|^2 = 1-2r\cos\theta+r^2]$$

Combining (1) and (2), we get the result.

Prop. 2.3

- (a) $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) d\theta = 1$
- (b) $P_r(\theta) > 0 \ \forall \theta, \ P_r(-\theta) = P_r(\theta)$ and Pr is periodic in θ with period 2π .

Theorem : Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and suppose that $f : \partial D \to R$ is a continuous

function. Then there is a continuous function $u: D^- \to R$ such that

- (a) $u(z) = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \partial D$
- (b) *u* is harmonic in *D*.

Moreover *u* is unique and is defined by the formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt \quad \text{for } 0 \le r < 1, \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$$

Proof: Define $u: \overline{D} \to R$ as

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt \quad \text{for } 0 \le r < 1 \qquad \dots (1)$$

...(2)

and let $u(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})$

Then u satisfies part (a).

Now we have to show that *u* is continuous on *D* and harmonic in *D*.

(i) Proving u is harmonic in D.

If $0 \le r < 1$ then from (1)

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1+re^{i(\theta-t)}}{1-re^{i(\theta-t)}}\right]_{\text{by definition of } P_r(\theta)} f(e^{it})dt$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}f(e^{it})\left[\frac{1+re^{i(\theta-t)}}{1-re^{i(\theta-t)}}\right]dt\right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}f(e^{it})\left[\frac{e^{it}+re^{i\theta}}{e^{it}}\partial^{\theta}d^{\theta}\right]dt\right\} \qquad \dots(3)$$

Let us define $g: D \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \left[\frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \right] dt \qquad \dots (4)$$

Then g is analytic and

$$\operatorname{Re} g = u \qquad \dots (5)$$

 $\therefore \quad \nabla^2 u = 0 \implies u \text{ is harmonic.}$

(ii) Continuity of *u* on D⁻

Since u is harmonic on D therefore it remains to prove that u is continuous at each point of the boundary of D.

If
$$\alpha \in [-\pi, \pi]$$
 and an arc A of about such that for
 $\rho < r < 1$ and $e^{i\theta}$ in A

$$|u(re^{i\theta} - f(e^{i\alpha})| < \varepsilon \qquad \dots (6)$$

for particular $\alpha = 0$ we show that (6) holds.

Since f is continuous at $z = 1 \exists a \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
 if $|\theta| < \delta$...(7)

Let $M = \max\left\{ f(e^{i\theta}) \mid :\mid \theta \mid \le \pi \right\}$

Then from the result on Poisson kernel $P_r(\theta) < P_r(\delta)$ if $0 < \delta < |\theta| \le \pi$

There exists a ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$ such that

••••	(8)
------	-----

...(9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{for} \quad \rho < r < 1 \text{ and } |\theta| \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \\ & \text{Let A be the arc } \left\{ e^{i\theta} : |\theta| \le \frac{\delta}{2} \right\} \text{ then if} \\ & e^{i\theta} \in A \quad \text{ and } \rho < r < 1 \\ & u(re^{i\theta}) - f(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) f(e^{it}) dt - f(1) \\ & P_r(\theta) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|r| < \delta} P_r(\theta - t) [f(e^{it}) - f(1)] dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|r| \ge \delta} P_r(\theta - t) [f(e^{it}) - f(1)] dt \\ & \text{if} \quad |t| \ge \delta \text{ and } |\theta| \le \frac{\delta}{2} \text{ then } |t - \theta| \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \\ & |u(re^{i\theta}) - f(1)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|r| < \delta} P_r(\theta - t) |f(e^{it}) - f(1)| dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|r| \ge \delta} P_r(\theta - t) |f(e^{it}) - f(1)| dt \\ & \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} \qquad \text{[from (7) and (8)]} \\ & \Rightarrow \quad |u(re^{i\theta}) - f(1)| \le \varepsilon \\ & \text{Hence for general value of } \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

 $|u(re^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\alpha})| < \varepsilon$

Show that u is continuous on D⁻.

(iii) For *u* is unique

Suppose $v \in D^-$ which is harmonic on D and $v(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta}) \forall \theta$.

then (u-v) is harmonic is D and
$$(u - v)(z) = 0$$
. $\forall z \in \partial D$

 $\Rightarrow u - v = 0$

 \Rightarrow *u* is unique.

Harnack inequality

If $u: \overline{B}(a; R) \to R$ is continuous, harmonic in B(a; R) and $u \ge 0$ then for $0 \le r < R$ and all θ

$$\frac{R-r}{R+r}u(a) \le u(a+re^{i\theta}) \le \frac{R+r}{R-r}u(a)$$

Harnack's theorem : Let G be a region with

(a) The metric space Har(G) is complete.

(b) If $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence in Har(G) such that $u_1 \le u_2 \le ...$ then either $u_n(z) \to \infty$ uniformly on compact subset of G or $\{u_n\}$ converges in Har(G) to a harmonic function.

Proof: (a) Har(G) is complete

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in Har(G) such that

 $u_n \rightarrow u$ in C(G, R)

Then u has the MVP

 \Rightarrow u is harmonic

[by the theorem if $u: G \to R$ which has MVP then u is harmonic.]

(b) Assuming $u_1 \ge 0$

Let $u(z) = \sup\{(u_n(z): n \ge 1\}; \forall z \in G$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Either $u(z) = \infty$ or $u(z) \in \mathbf{R}$ and $u_n(z) \to u(z), \forall z \in G$

Define

$$A = \{z \in G : u(z) = \infty\} \qquad \dots (1)$$

$$B = \{z \in G : u(z) < \infty\} \qquad \dots (2)$$

Then $A \cup B = G$ and $A \cap B = \phi$

Now we show that both A and B are open

If $a \in G$, and R be chosen such that

Then by Harnack's inequality

$$\frac{R - |z - a|}{R + |z - a|} u_n(a) \le u_n(z) \le \frac{R + |z - a|}{R - |z - a|} u_n(a); \quad \forall z \in B(a; R) \text{ and } \forall n \ge 1.$$

...(3)

If $a \in A$ then

so that from (3)

$$u_n(z) \to \infty, \quad \forall z \in B(a; R)$$
 [left half of (3)]
 $\Rightarrow B(a; R) \subset A$

$$\Rightarrow B(a; R) \subset A$$

 \Rightarrow A is open.

Similarly: If $a \in B$ then right half of (3) gives that

for
$$|z - a| < R$$

 $\Rightarrow u_n(z) < \infty \quad \forall z \in B(a; R)$
 \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow B is open

Since G is connected, either A = G or B = G

Suppose A = G; that is $u \equiv \infty$

and $0 < \rho < R$ then

$$M = \frac{(R - \rho)}{R + P} > 0 \text{ and}$$

(3) gives that

If

$$Mu_n(a) \le u_n(z)$$
 for $|z-a| \le \rho$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u_n(z) \to \infty \text{ uniformly for } z \in B(a; \rho)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \forall a \in G \ \exists \ a \ \rho > 0 \text{ such that } u_n(z) \to \infty \text{ uniformly for } |z - a| \le \rho$$

 \Rightarrow $u_n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for z in any compact set.

Now suppose B = G

i.e. $u(z) < \infty \quad \forall z \in G$

If $\rho < R$ then there is a constant N, which depends only on *a* and ρ such that

for
$$|z - a| \le \rho$$
 and $\forall n$

Soif

$$\leq C[u_n(a) - u_m(a)]$$

for some constant C.

 $\Rightarrow \{u_n(z)\}\$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence on $\overline{B}(a;\rho)$

 $\Rightarrow \{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Har(G) and must converge to a harmonic

function [by part (a)]

Since $u_n(z) \rightarrow u(z)$

 \Rightarrow *u* is the required harmonic function $u_m(a) \rightarrow W_m(a) \rightarrow W_m(a) \rightarrow Mu_m(a)$

Definition :

Subrarmonic function- If φ be a continuous function and if

such that

$$\varphi(a) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(a + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Definition:

Superharmonic- If φ be a continuous function and if

such that

$$\varphi(a) \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(a + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Definition :

If G is a region and f be a continuous function $f:\partial_{\infty}G \to R$ then the **Parron** family denoted by $\mathbf{P}(f,G)$ consists of all *subharmonic function* $\varphi: G \to \mathbf{R}$ such that

Dirichlet Problem : It consists in determining all regions G such that for any continuous function there is a continuous function $u: G^- \to R$ such that u(z) = f(z) for and u is harmonic in G.

Definition : Barrier for *G* at *a*

Let *G* be a region and . A barrier for *G* at *a* is a family $\{\psi_r : r > 0\}$ of functions such that

- (a) ψ_r is defined and superharmonic on G(a; r) with $0 \le \psi_r(z) \le 1$;
- (b) $\lim_{z \to a} \psi_r(z) = 0$ $\lim_{z \to a} \psi_r(z) = 0$ $\forall a \in \partial_{\infty} G$
- (c) for

If we define $\hat{\psi}_r$ by letting

$$\hat{\Psi}_r = \Psi_r$$
 on $G(a; r)$

 $\hat{\psi}_r(z) = 1$ for

then is superharmonic.

 $\Rightarrow \hat{\psi}_r$ approaches the function which is one everywhere but at z=a, $\hat{\psi}_r$ is zero.

Theorem : Let G be a region and let $a \in \partial_{\infty} G$ such that there is a barrier for G at a.

If $f:\partial_{\infty}G \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and *u* is the Perron function associated with *f* then $\lim_{z \to a} u(z) = f(a)$

Proof: Let $\{\psi_r : r > 0\}$ be a barrier for G at *a*. Assuming $a \neq \infty$ and

(otherwise consider the function f - f(a))

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta > 0$ such that

 $|f(w)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $w \in \partial_{\infty} G$ and

 $|w-a| < 2\delta;$

Let $\psi = \psi_{\delta}$

Let $\hat{\psi}: G \to R$ defined by

$$\hat{\psi}(z) = \psi(z)$$
 for $z \in G(a; \delta)$ and
for $z \in G - B(a; \delta)$...(1)

Then is superharmonic.

If
$$|f(w)| \le M$$
 for all w in $\partial_{\infty} G$...(2)

then

 $-M\hat{\psi}-\varepsilon$ is subharmonic.

Consider
$$-M\hat{\psi} - \varepsilon$$
 in $\mathbf{P}(f, G)$ (3)

If
$$w \in \partial_{\infty}G - B(a; \delta)$$
 then from (1) and $(2, 1)^{f,G} \le u(z)$; $\forall z \in G$

$$\lim_{z \to w} \sup[-M\hat{\psi}(z) - \varepsilon] = -M - \varepsilon < f(w) \qquad \dots (4)$$

Because

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{z \to w} \sup[-M\hat{\psi}(z) - \varepsilon] \le -\varepsilon \quad \forall \ w \in \partial_{\infty}G \qquad \dots (5)$$

If particular if $w \in \partial_{\infty} G \cap B(a; \delta)$ then

 $\limsup_{z \to w} [-M\hat{\psi}(z) - \varepsilon] \le -\varepsilon < f(w) \text{ by the choice of } \delta.$

 \Rightarrow the consideration (3) is valid.

Hence

...(6)

Similarly

$\liminf_{z \to w} [M\hat{\psi}(z) + \varepsilon] \ge \limsup_{z \to w} (\varphi(z))$	and w in	
By the Maximum principle for subharmonic	and superharmonic	

we have

$$\forall \varphi \text{ in } \text{ and } z$$

 $\in G$

Hence

(6) and (7)

$$\Rightarrow -M\hat{\psi}(z) - \varepsilon \le u(z) \le M\hat{\psi}(z) + \varepsilon \quad \forall z \in G \qquad \dots (8)$$

But

(is Parron function)

Since ε is arbitrary (8) implies

$$\lim_{Z \to a} u(z) = 0 = f(a)$$

Harmonic function

Definition : Let G be an open set and $G \subseteq C$, $u: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is harmonic if u has

continuous partial derivatives and satisfying the Laplace equation, i.e.

Harmonic conjugate

Definition : Let *f* be an analytic function defined as $f: G \to C$ then $u = \operatorname{Re} f$

and

are called harmonic conjugates.

Theorem : If
$$|z| \le \frac{1}{p} < 1$$
 then $|\log E(z;k)| \le \frac{p}{p-1} |z|^{k+1}$.

Proof: Let k > 0

$$\log E(z;k) = Log(1-z) + \left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{z^k}{k}\right)$$
$$Log(1-z) = -z - \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^k}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}z^{k+1} \dots$$
for $|z| < 1$

$$\therefore \quad \log E(z;k) = -\frac{1}{k+1} z^{k+1} - \frac{1}{k+2} z^{k+2} - \dots \qquad \text{for } |z| \le \frac{1}{p} < 1$$

49

$$\therefore |\log E(z;k)| \le |\log E(z;k)| \le |z|^{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+2}|z| + ...\right)$$
$$\le |z|^{k+1} (1+|z|+|z|^2 +) \qquad [\because k > 0]$$
$$\le |z|^{k+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + ...\right)$$
$$= \frac{p}{p-1} |z|^{k+1}$$

for k = 0

$$|\log E(z;0)| = |\log(1-z)| \le |z| \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{2} + ...\right)$$
$$\le |z| \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + ...\right)$$
$$|\log E(z;0)| = \frac{p}{p-1} |z|$$

for p = 2

$$|Log E(z;k)| \le \frac{2}{2-1} |z|^{k+1} = 2 |z|^{k+1}$$

provided $|z| \le \frac{1}{2}$

Example : construct an entire function with simple zeros at the point $0, 1, 2^p, 3^p, \dots, n^p, \dots (p > 1)$

Solution : We may take $k_n = 0$ for every *n*. The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{n^p} \right| = |z| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$

converges for $\forall_{\mathcal{I}}$ when p > 1

 \therefore by Weierstrass factorization Theorem

m=1 (simple zero at z=0), $k_n = 0$, $a_n = \frac{1}{n^p}$

$$\Rightarrow \quad F(z) = e^{h(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n^p} \right)$$

Example : Express $\sin \pi z$ as an infinite product.

Solution : since $\sin \pi z$ is an entire function with simple zeros at $0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\pm 3,\ldots$ Then by Weierstrass factorization Theorem

....(1)

provided
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right|^{k_n+1}$$
 converges for $\forall z$ where $a_n, n \ge 1$ are zeros.
since $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{r}{a_n} \right|^{k_n+1} < \infty; n \ne 0, \forall r > 0$

Then it is sufficient to choose $k_n = 1, \forall n$,

then
$$\sum_{\substack{n=-\infty\\n\neq 0}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right|^2 = |z|^2 \left(\frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots \right)$$

= $2|z|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ that conveyes for each z

 \therefore in (1) we may put $a_n = \pm n$, $k_n = 1$ to get

$$\sin \pi z = e^{h(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{\pm n}; 1\right)$$
$$\sin \pi z = e^{h(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{z}{n}\right) \right]$$

$$\sin \pi z = e^{h(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2} \right)$$

Example : Construct an entire function with simple zero at the point 0, -1, -2,, -*n*.

Solution : Given that $a_n = -n$ then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right|^{k_n + 1} \text{ be convergent if } k_n = 1$$

i.e.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right|^{k_n + 1} = |z|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|-n|^2} = |z|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Then Weierstrass factorization Theorem gives

$$F(z) = e^{h(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{(-n)} \right) e^{-\frac{z}{n}}$$
$$= e^{h(z)} z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-\frac{z}{n}}$$

Definition : Rank of infinite product

The *smallest non negative integer* k for which the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a_n|^{k+1}}$ converges

is said to be rank of the infinite product. $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n};k_n\right)$

Definition Canonical (or regular) product :

If k denotes the rank of infinite product and if we take $k_n = k \forall n$, then the infinite product

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; k\right) \text{ is called canonical product.}$$

If no such integer k exists, the infinite product is said to be of infinite rank.

Definition : Exponent of convegence of zeros of an entire function

If
$$F(z) = e^{h(z)} \cdot z^m P(z)$$
 ...(1)

where P(z) is canonical product of rank k and A a non-empty set of non negative **real members** α such that

converges.

Then the number $\rho = \text{glb} A$ is called the exponent of convegence of the zeros of the entire function given by (1)

Theorem : Theorem for the computation of the exponent of convegence.

Let $|a_n| = r_n (0 < r_1 \le r_2 \le ... \le r_n \le ...; r_n \to \infty)$ then the exponent of convergence is given by

$$\frac{1}{\rho_c} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log r_n}{\log n}$$

Example : If (i) $|a_n| = n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log_n$ (ii) $|a_n| = |a|^n$, |a| > 1 then find $\rho_{c.}$ Ans. (i) 2 (ii) 0 $f(a) = 1 + a^2 a^{2^2}$

Ans. (1) 2 (11) 0 **Example 1** (11) 0 **Examp**

If $F(z) = e^{h(z)} z^m P(z)$ is an entire function with canonical product P(z) of rank k and h(z) is a polynomial of degre $q \ge 0$ then non-negative integer $p = \max(k, q)$ is called the genus of the entire function and q is said to be the **exponential degree** of F. If P(z) is not of finite rank k, or if h(z) is not a polynomial, then F is said be of infinite genus.

Example : Find genus of the entire function

$$F(z) = e^{z^2} z^2 P(z)$$

$$h(z) = z^2 \text{ is a polynomial of degree 2.}$$

$$P(z) = 1$$

$$\therefore \quad k_n = k = 0$$

 \therefore max $(k,q) = \max(0,2) = 2$ is the genus of F(z).

Definition : Order of an entire function

If F(z) be an entire function and A is a positive constant such that

 $\max_{|z|=r} |F(z)| = M(r) < e^{r^{A}}$

for all sufficiently large value of r = |z|, then F(z) is called an entire function of finite order.

Alternatively

F(z) is of finite orer if $\exists A > 0$ such that

 $|F(z)| = 0(e^{r^{A}})$ or $|F(z)| < K e^{r^{A}}; \quad K > 0$

Definition : Order ρ of an entire function of finite order :

Let $S = \{A : | F(z)| < e^{r^A}, r > r_0\}$ then the order ρ of an entire function F of finite order is defined by $\rho = \inf\{A : | f(z)| < e^{r^A}\}M(r) < e^{Br^{\rho}} \quad \forall$

If there is not a positive constant A such that

-

 $|F(z)| < e^{r^A} \quad \forall \quad r \text{ large enough}$

F(z) is said be of **infinite order**, $\rho = \infty$.

Definition : Type of entire function:

If F(z) is an entire function of finite order ρ and there exists a constant B > 0 such

that

r large enough

then F(z) is said to be of *finite type* and

$$\sigma = \inf\{B: M(r) < e^{Br^{\nu}}, r > R\}$$

is called the *type of F*.

If $\sigma > 0$, *F* is said to be of *normal type*.

If $\sigma = 0$, *F* is called *minimum type*.

If there is no *B* such that $M(r) < e^{Br^{\rho}}$, then F is called of *infinite type* (or *maximum type*).

exponential type σ : An entire function F is said to be of expontial type $\sigma(\sigma < \infty)$ if either the function is of order $\rho = 1$ and type , or the function is of order less then 1.

Theorem : The order ρ of an entire function is given by the formula

Proof : Suppose $\rho < \infty$ then

then for given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$M(r) < e^{r^{\rho+\varepsilon}}$$
 $\forall r \text{ large enough}$...(2)

Also, there are some z with arbitrary modulus for which

$$\log \log M(r) < (\rho + \varepsilon) \log r$$

$$\forall r < \operatorname{Re}$$
(4)

and (3) implies

i.e.

$$\frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} > \rho - \varepsilon \qquad \dots(5)$$

$$\therefore \qquad \rho - \varepsilon < \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} < \rho + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r}}$$

Theorem : The type σ of an entire function of finite order ρ is given by

cenough

$$\sigma = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^p}$$

Proof : Since the entire function f(z) of finite order ρ is of type σ

Therefore

$$M(r) < e^{\sigma r^{\rho}} \qquad \dots (1)$$

then for given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$M(r) < e^{(\sigma+\varepsilon)r^{\rho}} \quad \forall r \text{ large enough} \qquad ...(2)$$

and
$$M(r) > e^{(\sigma-\varepsilon)r^{\rho}}$$
 ...(3)

for infinity many values of r

Then (2) implies

$$\frac{\log M(r)}{r^p} < \sigma + \varepsilon \qquad \dots (4)$$

and (3) implies

$$\frac{\log M(r)}{r^{p}} > \sigma - \varepsilon \qquad(5)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sigma - \varepsilon < \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{p}} < \sigma + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sigma = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{p}}}$$

Theorem : Jensen Formula

If f(z) is analytic in the disc $|z| \le R$ and if $a_k \ne 0$ $(1 \le k \le n)$ are the zero of f(z)

those zeros being repeated according to their multiplicities, then

in

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |f(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})| \, d\theta = \log |f(0)| + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{|a_k|}$$

Proof : Since f(z) is analytic in $|z| \le R$, \exists an open disc $|z| < R' = R + \delta$ ($\delta > 0$), where f(z) is analytic and has no other zero than the a_k

Then if the function

$$g(z) = \frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(z)}{(a_1 - z)(a_2 - z)\dots(a_n - z)f(0)} \dots \dots (1)$$

is dfined at the point $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ then it becomes analytic in |z| < R' and does not vanish analytic in this disc. Then there exists a function h(z) analytic in R'such that $e^{h(z)} = g(z)$ an analytic branch h(z) of $\log g(z)$ in the disc R'.

From (1) g(0) = 1, we may coose h(0) = 0 [from (2)]

Then $\frac{h(z)}{z}$ can be made analytic in |z| < R'

If we consider $C: z = \operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ then by the Cauchy-Gousrat theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C^+} \frac{h(z)}{z} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})}{\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}} Rie^{i\theta} d\theta = 0$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0 \qquad \dots (3)$$

But Re $h(z) = \log |g(z)|$

Taking real part of (3)

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |f(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})| \, d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{a_1 \cdot a_2 \dots \cdot a_n f(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})}{(a_1 - \operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})_{2\pi} (a_n - \operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}) f(0)} \right| d\theta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |f(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})| \, d\theta - \log |f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |a_k| \times 2\pi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |f(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})| \, d\theta - \log |f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log |a_k| \times 2\pi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|a_k - \operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}|} \, d\theta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |f(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta})| \, d\theta - \log |f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log |a_k| \times 2\pi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|a_k - \operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}|} \, d\theta = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} +\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log\left|\frac{1}{||\mathbf{R}e^{i\theta}||}\frac{a_{k}e^{-i\theta}}{|\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{1}||}\right|d\theta &= 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log|f(\mathbf{R}e^{i\theta})|d\theta - \log|f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log|a_{k}| \times 2\pi\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left[-\log|\mathbf{R}| - \log\left|1 - \frac{a_{k}e^{-i\theta}}{|\mathbf{R}||}\right|\right]d\theta = 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log|f(\mathbf{R}e^{i\theta})|d\theta - \log|f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log|a_{k}| \times 2\pi\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}2\pi(-\log R) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log\left|1 - \frac{a_{k}e^{-i\theta}}{|\mathbf{R}||}\right|d\theta = 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log|f(\mathbf{R}e^{i\theta})|d\theta - \log|f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log|a_{k}| \times 2\pi\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}2\pi(-\log R) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log\left|1 - \frac{a_{k}e^{-i\theta}}{|\mathbf{R}||}\right|d\theta = 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log|f(\mathbf{R}e^{i\theta})|d\theta - \log|f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log|a_{k}| \times 2\pi\\ &\quad +(-n)\log R - \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log\left|1 - \frac{a_{k}e^{-i\theta}}{|\mathbf{R}||}\right|d\theta = 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\log|f(\mathbf{R}e^{i\theta})|d\theta - \log|f(0)| - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\log|a_{k}| + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\log R\\ &\quad =\log|f(0)| + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\log\left(\frac{R}{|a_{k}|}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Corollary : If n(r) denotes the number of zeros of the entire function F(z) in the disc $|z| \le r$, and $F(0) \ne 0$, then

$$\int_{0}^{R} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \le \log M(R) - \log |F(0)|$$

Proof: Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are zeros of F(z) in |z| < R such that $|a_1| < |a_2| < \dots < |a_n|$ Then

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{R}{|a_k|} \right) = n \log R - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log |a_k|$$

$$= n \log R + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k[\log |a_{k+1}| - \log |a_k|] - n \log |a_n|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|a_k|}^{|a_{k+1}|} k \frac{1}{t} dt + n(\log R - \log |a_n|)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{|a_k|}^{|a_{k+1}|} \frac{k}{t} dt + n \int_{|a_n|}^{R} \frac{1}{t} dt \qquad \dots (1)$$

 $n(t) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \le t \le |a_1|$ $n(t) = k \text{ for } |a_k| \le t < |a_{k+1}|, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)$ $n(t) = n \text{ when } |a_n| \le t < R$

We have (from 1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{|a_k|} = \int_{|a_1|}^{|a_2|} \frac{1}{t} dt + \int_{|a_2|}^{|a_3|} \frac{2}{t} dt + \int_{|a_3|}^{|a_4|} \frac{3}{t} dt + \dots + \int_{|a_{n-1}|}^{|a_n|} \frac{(n-1)}{t} dt + n \int_{|a_n|}^{R} \frac{1}{t} dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{R} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt$$

Then by Jesens formula

$$M_{c}(2r) < \exp\{(2r)^{\rho+\varepsilon/3}\}$$

$$\int_{0}^{R} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |F(\operatorname{Re}^{i\theta}) d\theta - \log |F(0)|$$

$$\leq \log M(R) - \log F(0)$$

Theorem : (Hadamard) The exponent of convergence of the zeros of an entire function of finite order is no greater then ρ . i.e. $\rho_c \leq \rho$

Proof: $\{a_n\}^{\infty}$ sequence of zeros of F(z), $|a_k| \leq |a_{k+1}|$ and real function n(t) is monotonic increasing with t

For given $\in > 0$ we have

. For r large enough

 $\log M(2r) < (2r)^{\rho+\varepsilon/3} < r^{\rho+2\varepsilon/3}$ or

Replacing R by 2r in last result of the corollary and using (2)

$$\int_{0}^{2r} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt < r^{\rho + 2\varepsilon/3} - \log |F(0)| < r^{\rho + \varepsilon} \qquad ...(3)$$

...(2)

(1) and (3)

$$n(r) < \frac{1}{\log 2} r^{\rho + \varepsilon} \qquad \dots (4)$$

 \Rightarrow $n(r) = o(r^{\rho + \varepsilon})$ as $r \to \infty$

Now we show that

converges whatever be $\delta > 0$

and $r = r_n$ Let ε be such that

$$n = n(r_n) < \frac{1}{\log 2} r_n^{\rho + \varepsilon} \qquad \dots (5)$$

all *r* large enough
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon \mathfrak{s}}{r_n^{\rho + \varepsilon}} \delta$$

for all r large enough

from(5)

$$\frac{1}{r_n^{\rho+\varepsilon}} < \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\log 2}$$

and
$$\frac{1}{r_n^{\rho+\varepsilon}} < A \frac{1}{n^{(\rho+\delta)/(\rho+\varepsilon)}} \qquad A = \left(\frac{1}{\log 2}\right)^{(\rho+\delta)/(\rho+\varepsilon)}$$
$$\frac{(\rho+\delta)}{(\rho+\varepsilon)} > 1, \text{ the series } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{(\rho+\delta)/(\rho+\varepsilon)}} \text{ converges}$$
Hence
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n^{-(\rho+\varepsilon)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \text{Exponent of convergence } \rho_c \text{ of zeros is } \rho+\delta$$
$$\Rightarrow$$

Theorem : Suppose that about each zero a_n , $|a_n| > 1$, of a cononical product

P(z), a dix of radius $\frac{1}{r_n^p}$ is described where $r_n = |a_n|$ and = order P(z). Then in the region R complementary to the union of all those discs, the inequality

holds an infinitely many circles of radii arbitrarily large.

Proof: It is obivious that the sum $2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_n^p}$ infinite as $(p > \rho = \rho_c)$ the union of the intervals $\bigcup_n [r_n - r_n^{-p}, r_n + r_n^{-p}]$ on the real axis each of length $2r_n^{-p}$ does not cover the entire positive real axis.

There are infinitely many circles with center at the origin and radii arbitrarily large which lie in R.

[on using triangle inequally $|z_1 + z_2| \ge |z_1| - |z_2|$]

For |z| = r and $r_n \le 2r$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{z}{a_n} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^k \right| \le \left| \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^k \left\{ \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k-1} \left(\frac{a_n}{z} \right)^{k-1} + \dots + \left(\frac{a_n}{z} \right)^{k-1} \right\} \right|$$

$$\le \left(\frac{r}{r_n} \right)^k \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{k} + \dots + \left| \frac{a_n}{z} \right|^{k-1} \right\}}_{(A_1 \text{ which does not depend on } r)}$$

$$= A_1 \left(\frac{r}{r_n} \right)^k \dots (3)$$

$$\therefore \sum_{r_n \leq 2r} \left| \frac{z}{a_n} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^k \right| \leq A_1 \sum_{r_n \leq 2r} \left(\frac{r}{r_n} \right)^k$$

$$= A_1 \sum_{r_n \leq 2r} \frac{2^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k} \cdot r^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 + k}}{2^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k} \cdot r^{\rho + \varepsilon/2} (r_n)^k}$$

$$= A_1 \sum_{r_n \leq 2r} \frac{2^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k} \cdot r^{\rho + \varepsilon/2}}{(2r)^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k} \cdot (r_n)^k}$$

$$= A_1 2^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k} \cdot r^{\rho + \varepsilon/2} \sum_{r_n \leq 2r} \frac{1}{(r_n)^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k}} \cdot (r_n)^k$$

$$= r^{\rho + \varepsilon/2} \left(A_1 2^{\rho + \varepsilon/2 - k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_n^{\rho + \varepsilon/2}} \right)$$

$$(A_2 \text{ which does not depend on } r)$$

$$= A_2 r^{\rho + \varepsilon/2} \qquad \dots (4)$$

If k = 0 the sum in (4) does not appear in (2). For z outside every circle $|z - a_n| = r_n^{-p}$ with $r_n \le 2r$.

We have

$$1 - \frac{z}{a_n} = \frac{|a_n - z|}{|a_n|} = \frac{r_n^{-p}}{r_n} = r_n^{-p-1} \ge (2r)^{-p-1}$$

Hence for all circles |z| = r in the region *R*, with *r* sufficiently large,

$$\log |P(z)| > -r^{\rho+\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow |P(z)| > e^{-r^{\rho+\varepsilon}}$$

Hadanard's Factorization theorem

If F(z) is an entire function of finite order ρ , then the factorization $F(z) = e^{h(z)} z^m P(z)$ is always possible where h(z) is a polynomial of degree $\leq \rho$, $m \geq o$ is the multiplicity of z = 0 and P(z) is a canonical product of rank .

Proof : According to Weierstrass factorization theorem an entire function F(z) can be factorize in the following from

...(1)

When h(z) is an entire function and P(z) a product which may or may not be canonical.

By the previous theorem $\rho_c \leq \rho$, so that P(z) is of finite rank $k \leq \rho$.

Also since $|P(z)| > e^{-r^{\rho+\varepsilon}}$

replacing ε by we have

on infinitely many circles |z| = r of arbitrarily large radius.

Aslo $|F(z)| < e^{r^{\rho+\varepsilon/2}}$ is satisfied for all values of *r* sufficiently large, since F is suppose to be of order ρ . Then it follows that

$$e^{\operatorname{Re}h(z)} = |e^{h(z)}| = \frac{|F(z)|}{|z^m P(z)|} < \frac{e^{r^{\rho+\varepsilon/2}}}{e^{-r^{\rho+\varepsilon/2}}}$$
$$= e^{2r^{\rho+\varepsilon/2}} < e^{r^{\rho+\varepsilon/2}}$$

on circles of arbitrarily large radius.

Hence on such circles

 $\operatorname{Re} h(z) < r^{\rho+\varepsilon}$

 \Rightarrow h(z) is a polynomial of degree not greater then ρ .

Example : Show $\sin \pi z = \pi z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2}\right)$ by Hadaward Factorization Theorem.

Solution: Let $F(z) = \frac{\sin \pi \sqrt{z}}{\pi \sqrt{z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\pi^{2n} z^n}{(2n+1)!}$ (1)

$$=1-\frac{\pi^2 z}{3!}+....$$

when
$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$
 then by the formula

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(1/|c_n|)}{n \log n} \qquad \dots (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = 2$$
 i.e. $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$...(3)

Since zeros of F(z) are $z = 1, 4, ..., n^2, ...$ we have

$$F(z) = \frac{\sin \pi \sqrt{z}}{\pi \sqrt{z}} = e^{h(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n^2} \right) \qquad ...(4)$$

But according to Hadamard Factorization theorem, h(z) must be a polynomial

of degee \leq order of F(z) i.e. degree of

$$\Rightarrow h(z) = C \text{ (constant)}$$

$$\therefore F(z) = e^C \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n^2}\right) \qquad h(z) \le \frac{1}{2}$$

Since F(0) = 1

$$\Rightarrow C = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\sin \pi \sqrt{z}}{\pi \sqrt{z}} = F(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n^2} \right)$$

Replacing z by z^2

$$\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2} \right)$$

